

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/596,442	06/19/2000	Matthew R Perkins	CM03017J	4005
75	90 09/27/2002			
James A Lamb Motorola Inc Intellectual Property Section Law Department			EXAMINER LY, NGHI H	
,			2682	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

94°

			(%
F	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/596,442	PERKINS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nghi H. Ly	2682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely fONTHS from the mailing date of this co	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is
Disposition of Claims	an parte quayre, rece		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			or
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		disapproved by the Examin	C1.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received ir	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		l application).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	s been received.	,,
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest Attachment(s)	ic priority under 35 U.S.	39 120 and/or 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PTo	
0.00-111			_

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varela et al (US 5,230,078).

Regarding claim 1, Varela teaches a method for accessing a radio communication system having a plurality of radios (see column 1 lines 11-15), comprising the steps of: (a) separating the plurality of radios into two or more groups (see column1 lines 18-20), (b) gathering a communication statistic on the plurality of radios (see column1 lines 20-23). Varela does not specifically disclose (c) reconfiguring the grouping of radios based on the communication statistic gathered in step (a). However, since the channel usage in the trunked system varies at time, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Varela such that the group of mobile units are reconfiguring, so that the groups can be associated with the changing channel usage.

Regarding claim 2, Varela further teaches comprising the step of: (d) allowing access to the radio communication system based on the grouping of the radios (see column 1 lines 35-37).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 3, Varela further teaches the communication statistic gathered in step (b) comprises the average channel usage by each of the plurality of radios (see column1 lines 20-23).

Regarding claim 4, Varela teaches a method as defined in claim 1. Varela inherently teaches the communication statistic gathered in step (b) comprises the number of channel accesses per unit time by each of the plurality of radios (see column 1 lines 1-23).

Regarding claim 5, Varela further teaches the communication statistic gathered in step (b) comprises the priority of each of the plurality of radios (see column 3 lines 46-53).

Regarding claim 7, Varela teaches steps b and d. Varela inherently teaches repeating steps (b) through (d) periodically (see rejection of claim 1 above). Since the channel usage in the trunked system varies at time, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Varela such that repeating steps (b) through (d) periodically, so that the groups can be associated with the changing channel usage (see Varela column 1 lines 18-23).

Regarding claim 9, Varela further teaches the step (b) is performed by a radio communication system controller (see column 1 lines 35-38).

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varela et al (US 5,230,078) in view of Segura et al (US 6,360,076).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 6, Varela teaches a method as defined in claim 1. Varela does not specifically disclose the communication statistic gathered in step (b) comprises the average received signal strength of each of the plurality of radios. Segura teaches the communication statistic gathered in step (b) comprises the average received signal strength of each of the plurality of radios (see column 4 lines 44-47 and see column 1 lines 19-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Segura into the system of Varela in order to provide a quality over-the-air multicast to a plurality of mobile terminals (see column 1 lines 5-8).

4. Claims 8, 10-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varela et al (US 5,230,078) in view of Cook et al (US 6,389,284).

Regarding claim 8, Varela teaches a method as defined in claim 1. Varela does not specifically disclose the two or more groups of radios established in step (a) can access the radio communication system at specified times which are different for each of the two or more groups. Cook teaches the two or more groups of radios established in step (a) can access the radio communication system at specified times which are different for each of the two or more groups (see column 3 lines 12-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Cook into the system of Varela in order to provide wireless access while minimizing interference to other service providers (see column 7 lines 13-15).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Varela and Cook further teaches a step (b) is performed by each of the plurality of radios (see Cook column 3 lines 12-15).

Regarding claim 11, Varela teaches a method for accessing a radio communication system having a plurality of radios (see column 1 lines 11-15), comprising the steps of: (a) separating the plurality of radios into two or more groups (see column1 lines 18-20), (b) gathering a communication statistic on the plurality of radios (see column1 lines 20-23). Varela does not specifically disclose (c) reconfiguring the grouping of radios based on the communication statistic gathered in step (a). However, since the channel usage in the trunked system varies at time, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Varela such that the group of mobile units are reconfiguring, so that the groups can be associated with the changing channel usage. Varela does not specifically disclose (d) allowing access to the radio communication system by each of the two or more groups of radios at different predetermined periods of time. Cook teaches (d) allowing access to the radio communication system by each of the two or more groups of radios at different predetermined periods of time (see column 3 lines 12-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Cook into the system of Varela in order to provide wireless access while minimizing interference to other service providers (see column 7 lines 13-15).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Cook and Varela teaches a method as defined in claim 11. The combination of Cook and Varela does not specifically disclose

Art Unit: 2682

the radio communication system comprises a time division multiple access radio communication system. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that such time division multiple access radio communication system as recited in the claim are known in the art in order to save radio spectrum and permit many simultaneous conversations over a finite frequency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Cook and Varela for providing a method as claimed, in order to save radio spectrum and permit many simultaneous conversations over a finite frequency.

Regarding claim 13, Varela teaches steps (b) and (c) are repeated periodically. Varela inherently teaches steps (b) and (c) are repeated periodically (see rejection of claim 1 above). Since the channel usage in the trunked system varies at time, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Varela such that steps (b) and (c) are repeated periodically, so that the groups can be associated with the changing channel usage (see Varela column1 lines 20-23).

Regarding claim 14, Varela further teaches the communication statistic in step (b) is gathered by a central radio communication system resource (see column 1 lines 35-38).

Regarding claim 16, the combination of Varela and Cook further teaches the steps (b) and (c) are performed at predetermined periods of time (see Cook column 3 lines 12-15).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varela 5. et al (US 5,230,078) in view of Cook et al (US 6,389,284) and further in view of Raith (US 6,385,461).

Page 7

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Varela and Cook teaches a method as defined in claim 11. The combination of Varela and Cook does not specifically disclose the communication statistic in step (b) is gathered by each of the plurality of radios. Raith teaches the communication statistic in step (b) is gathered by each of the plurality of radios (see column 2 lines 33-36 and lines 62-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Raith into the system of Cook and Varela in order to iividaul users with the opportunity to joint group calls at any time (see column 2 lines 25-27).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Weissman (US 6,449,477) teaches radio frequency coverage of an enclosed region by arrangement of transceivers within the region.
- b. Raes (US 5,625,886) teaches method for forming groups of communication terminals and use of same.
- c. McWeeny (US 6,052,578) teaches method for converting contention busied calls in a wireless communication system.

Art Unit: 2682

Page 8

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (703) 605-5164. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Nghi H. Ly

September 24, 2002

NGUYENT.VO
PRIMARY EXAMINER